

基于影像融合诊断前列腺癌的研究进展

罗文斌, 王沛, 张一伟 综述 石更强 审校

上海理工大学 健康科学与工程学院 电子信息系 (上海 200093)

附件 1 融合图像质量评价指标

Supplement 1 Evaluation indexes of fusion image quality

指标	公式	说明	参考文献
MI	$MI_F^{AB} = MI_{FA} + MI_{FB}$	A、B 为输入图像， F 为融合图像。	[1]
SSIM	$SSIM(A, B/F) = \frac{(2F_A F_B + c_1)(2\sigma_F^2 F_A F_B + c_2)}{(F_A^2 + F_B^2 + c_1)(\sigma_F^2 F_A + \sigma_F^2 F_B + c_2)}$	σ^2 为源图像方差， σ 为输入图像 A 和 B 的平均值。	[2]
FMI	$FMI = \sum_{f,m} P_{FM}(i, j, k, l) \log_2 \frac{P_{FM}(i, j, k, l)}{P_F(i, j) P_M(k, l)} +$ $\sum_{f,n} P_{FN}(i, j, k, l) \log_2 \frac{P_{FN}(i, j, k, l)}{P_F(i, j) P_N(k, l)}$	P_{FM} 和 P_{FN} 代表源图 像与融合图像之间 的联合分布，FMI 值越大，表明融合 图像包含源图像信 息量越大。	[3]
UIQI	$UIQI = \frac{\sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y} \frac{2 X^* Y^*}{X^{*2} + Y^{*2}} \frac{2 \sigma_X \sigma_Y}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2}$ $\sigma_{XY} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(X_i - X^*)(Y_i - Y^*)}{N - 1}$	X^* 和 Y^* 为平均值， 方差用 σ_X 和 σ_Y 表 示。	[4]
PSNR	$PSNR = 20 X \log_{10} \frac{I_{\max}}{\sqrt{MSE}}$ $MSE = \frac{1}{QR} \sum_{n=1}^Q \sum_{m=1}^R (I(m, n) - J(m, n))^2$	式中 I 代表源图像， J 是源图像， $I_{\max}$ 表 示 I 的最大像素灰 度，MSE 表示均方 误差。	[5]
EN	$EN = - \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} P(m) \log_2 P(m)$	m 表示该点处的像 素灰度值，P(m) 指	[6]

		该点处为该灰度值的概率。	
SD	$SD = \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^Q \sum_{j=1}^R (f(i, j) - u^*)^2}}{QR}$	其中 u^* 是合成图像的均值。	[7]
SF	$SF = \sqrt{RF^2 + CF^2}$ $RF = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \sum_{j=2}^N (F(i, j) - F(i, j-1))^2}{M \times N}}$ $CF = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=2}^M (F(i, j) - F(i-1, j))^2}{M \times N}}$	RF 和 CF 分别对应上述二三式。	[8]
AG	$AG = \frac{1}{(M-1)(N-1)} \times \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{0.5(\Delta x F(i, j)^2 + \Delta y F(i, j)^2)}$ $\Delta x F(i, j) = F(i+1, j) - F(i, j)$ $\Delta y F(i, j) = F(i, j) - F(i, j+1)$	AG 越大, 融合图像中的信息保留越好, 融合质量越高	[9]

参考文献

- 1 Meng Changhan, Huang Mengxing, Li Yunchun, et al. Multi-modal MRI image fusion of the brain based on joint bilateral filter and non-subsampled shearlet transform. J Bio-inspir Com, 2023, 21(1): 26-35.
- 2 Padmavathi K, Asha C, Maya K V. A novel medical image fusion by combining TV-L1 decomposed textures based on adaptive weighting scheme. JESTECH, 2020, 23(1): 225-239.
- 3 Hammad M, Chelloug A S, Alayed W, et al. Optimizing multimodal scene recognition through mutual information-based feature selection in deep learning models. Appl Sci, 2023, 13(21): 11829-11847.
- 4 Ehar N, Shrivastava M. Pixel-level feature extraction model for breast cancer detection. CMC, 2022, 74(2): 3371-3389.
- 5 Subbiah V P, Sivakumar P. Multi-modality medical image fusion using hybridization of binary crow search optimization. HCMS, 2019, 23(4): 1-9.
- 6 Maqsood S, Javed U. Multi-modal medical image fusion based on two-scale image

-
- decomposition and sparse representation. *Biomed Signal Proces*, 2020, 57(3): 101810-101817.
- 7 Goyal S, Singh V, Rani A, et al. FPRSGF denoised non-subsampled shearlet transform-based image fusion using sparse representation. *Signal Image Video P*, 2020, 14(6): 719-726.
 - 8 Duan Junwei, Mao Shuqi, Jin Junwei, et al. A novel GA-based optimized approach for regional multimodal medical image fusion with superpixel segmentation. *Access*, 2021, 9(3): 96353-96366.
 - 9 Fu Qiang, Ma Ninglan, Liu Xuanwei, et al. Analysis of multispectral polarization imaging image information based on micro-polarizer array. *PloS*, 2024, 19(1): e0296397.